



Attorney Docket No.: 028987.52608US  
PATENT

## **TITLE OF THE INVENTION**

### **METHOD OF CONTROLLING THE VEHICLE HANDLING BY MEANS OF MEASURES FOR AVOIDING AN UNDERSTEERING**

#### **BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

[0001] This application claims the priority of Application No. 102 45 035.8, filed September 26, 2002, in Germany, the disclosure of which is expressly incorporated by reference therein.

[0002] The present invention relates to vehicles which have an all-wheel drive with a fixed torque distribution and a controllable longitudinal lock with or without a controllable main- axle lateral lock.

[0003] In the case of vehicles having a locking torque at the longitudinal and/or lateral lock, an understeering of the vehicle may occur in certain driving situations as a result of the torque distribution between the front axle and the rear axle and the coefficient-of-friction conditions at the wheels. As a result the vehicle will no longer follow the driving direction desired by the driver and will lurch by way of the front wheels.

[0004] The present invention uses a recognized correlation between the vehicle speed, the lateral acceleration and the steering angle for optimal controlling of the lateral acceleration. The method according to the invention has the advantage

Attorney Docket No.: 028987.52608US  
PATENT

that, in the event of an understeering, the vehicle handling can be influenced by a change of the torque distribution between the axles and/or the reduction of the locking torque at the lateral lock. It is particularly advantageous that, through the use of the lateral acceleration and the driving speed in a three-dimensional characteristic diagram, an envelope curve for the pertaining steering angles can be stored. As a result, the corresponding steering angle at which the vehicle allows a neutral vehicle handling can be determined for any driving situation. The present invention therefore has the advantage that, when the steering angle deviates from the envelope curve, an unstable driving condition is detected, in which case an understeering of the vehicle is recognized when the actual steering angle is defined to be greater than that of the envelope curve. If the steering angle is within the envelope curve, a normal driving situation exists and the vehicle follows the driving direction desired by the driver. When determining the driving situation, a plurality of parameters, such as the yaw angle, the yaw velocity, the yaw acceleration, the driving speed or also replacement models for the rotational wheel speeds can be included. Finally, quantities which influence the vehicle handling determined in the application, may be evaluated and may be filed as information in the control unit of the vehicle.

Attorney Docket No.: 028987.52608US  
PATENT

Other objects, advantages and novel features of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description of the invention when considered in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

#### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING**

[0005] An embodiment of the control according to the invention is illustrated in the figure and will be explained in detail in the following.

#### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT**

[0006] The figure shows a flow chart for implementing the method of detecting an oversteering of the vehicle and the steps for controlling this unstable vehicle handling.

[0007] The method according to the invention for controlling the longitudinal and/or lateral lock of a vehicle is illustrated as a basic diagram. Details which are not significant with respect to the basic idea of the invention will not be extensively discussed.

[0008] According to the present method, various operating parameters, such as the vehicle speed  $v$ , the lateral acceleration  $a_y$  and the steering angle  $LW$  are first detected in a first operating step 10. Normally, these values already exist in the vehicle and are transmitted, for example, by way of a CAN bus to the individual control units. No additional sensors or analyzing units are required for the analysis

Attorney Docket No.: 028987.52608US  
PATENT

and implementation. Only the required values have to be read out and made available for the further processing. Subsequently, the pertaining characteristic-diagram steering angle  $LW(KF)$  for the driving speed  $v$  and the lateral acceleration  $a_q$  is read in an operating step 11 out of a filed characteristic diagram which extends along the vehicle speed  $v$  and the lateral acceleration  $a_q$ . The characteristic diagram was, for example, determined already in the application and stored in the control unit. In query 12, the actual  $LW(akt)$  and the characteristic-diagram steering angle  $LW(KF)$  are now compared with one another. The two values may deviate from one another by a definable deviation  $\Delta$  without the detection of an action requirement for an intervention for changing the lateral acceleration. This means that, if the actual steering angle  $LW(akt)$  in this permissible range is  $LW(akt) = LW(KF) + \Delta$ , the yes output of this query 12 leads to a program step 15, in which a proper vehicle handling is detected and no additional interventions are carried out in the driving situation. However, if a correspondingly large deviation of the steering angles was detected, the no output of query 12 leads to a working step 13 in which an oversteering is now detected and thus a required change of the lateral acceleration. This changing of the lateral acceleration is implemented in the subsequent working step 14 by way of a corresponding controlling of the longitudinal and/or lateral lock. The change of the lateral acceleration is implemented by an increase of the lateral control. This increase takes place by

Attorney Docket No.: 028987.52608US  
PATENT

changing the distributor ratio and thus by the corresponding controlling of the locks. As a result, a correction of the steering angle is also required so that the driver is also integrated into the control loop. By means of the correction of the lateral acceleration and/or the steering angle, the vehicle is stabilized in the defined neutral path curve. The steps for the reduction of the oversteering by changing the longitudinal and/or lateral lock may be determined in this case in a variable manner. The speed of the control operation may, for example, be a function of additional demands on the vehicle. Here, for example, the actual condition of the road may also be taken into account. After an adaptation of the vehicle handling has taken place, this is detected in step 16, and new values can now be detected for the control according to the invention.

[0009] As mentioned above, the values for the envelope curve were theoretically predetermined and were adapted during the driving test to the respective vehicle type. A possible neutral path curve for the steering angle is obtained from the function  $LW = f(a_q, v_{veh})$ .

[0010] In principle, this explained strategy may be used for correcting an understeering as well as an oversteering. It should also be noted that, as a result of the steering angle which is generally not constant in the driving operation, the driver also represents a part of the control loop. A reduction of the front-axle fraction and/or the reduction of the locking torque of a lateral lock also ends the

Attorney Docket No.: 028987.52608US  
PATENT

lateral control capacity of the front axle so that the extent of the understeering is reduced and ideally eliminated.

**[0011]** This control strategy can be used in the case of a four-wheel drive with a longitudinal clutch, in the case of a four-wheel drive with a fixed distribution of the controllable longitudinal lock and the controllable rear-axle lateral lock, and in the case of a two-wheel drive with a controllable lateral lock.

**[0012]** The foregoing disclosure has been set forth merely to illustrate the invention and is not intended to be limiting. Since modifications of the disclosed embodiments incorporating the spirit and substance of the invention may occur to persons skilled in the art, the invention should be construed to include everything within the scope of the appended claims and equivalents thereof.